



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,479	11/05/2003	Kyoung-Won Kim	1572.1170	7746
21171	7590	08/21/2008		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			FEARER, MARK D	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/700,479

**Applicant(s)**

KIM ET AL.

**Examiner**

MARK D. FEARER

**Art Unit**

2143

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARK D. FEARER.(3) Paul Daebeler, reg 35852.(2) Jude Jean-Gilles.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 5, 9 and 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nouri et al. (US6065053A), Iavergne et al. (US7181517B1), Carmello et al. (US6425000B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the patent application, the office action that was mailed 28 April 2008, the amendment filed 28 July 2008, the cited references, and the independent claims. The Examiners agreed to further review the Claims in view of the Amendment that was filed 28 July 2008 and the comments of the Applicants' Representative during the personal interview. The Examiner will do a further search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tonia LM Dollinger/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2143